



## A-PoA: Anonymous Proof of Authorization for Decentralized Identity Management

Jan Lauinger, Jens Ernstberger, Emanuel Regnath, Mohammad Hamad, Sebastian Steinhorst

Technical University of Munich TUM Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Associate Professorship of Embedded Systems and Internet of Things October 13, 2024

Associate Professorship of Embedded Systems and Internet of Things TUM Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Technical University of Munich







**Context: Self-sovereign Identity Management (SSIM)**



**Central Federated Self-sovereign**

# Problem: Missing Authorization

**Distributed Ledger-based Identity Management (IdM) based on Verifiable Credentials**



**Verifiable Registry (e.g. Distributed Ledger) to maintain identifiers and schemas**

# Dynamic Cryptographic Accumulator

### **RSA-Accumulator (Camenisch and Lysyanskaya [\[1\]](#page-16-0))**

• Initialize accumulator:

 $a_t = (g_{\text{acc}})^2$  mod *n* 

- Add element to the accumulator:
- Calculate element witness pair  $(x_i, w_i)$ :
- Verify accumulator membership of *x<sup>i</sup>*
- Revoke accumulator element *x<sup>i</sup>*
- *xi <sup>t</sup>* mod *n*
- ,  $w_i$ ):  $w_i = a_t^{X_t \setminus \{x_i\}}$  mod *n*

$$
w_t^{x_i} \mod n == a_{t+1}
$$

$$
a_{t+1} = a_t^{x_i^{-1} \mod \phi(n)} \mod n, \text{ with } \phi(n) = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)
$$

• Requirement to update all witness values after addition or revocation.

# Cryptographic Accumulator in the Context of Hyperledger Indy

**Privacy Issue**



**to maintain identifiers and schemas**

## Zero Knowledge Proof

- **Completeness**
	- − Prover *P*, **knowing** a solution to a problem, can successfully convince a verifier *V*.
- **Soundness**
	- − Prover *P*, **not** knowing a solution to a problem, will fail to convince a verifier *V*.
- **Zero Knowledge**
	- − A **zero knowledge** Proof of Knowledge (PoK) scheme requires the verifier *V* to learn nothing but the validity of a convincing assertion from prover *P*.

## Intuitive Zero Knowledge Proof: Alibaba's Cave Analogy

- Peggy *P* the prover challenges Victor *V* the verifier.
- After several rounds, *V* is convinced that *P* knows a secret.



## Schnorr Proof of Knowledge of Discrete Log



Figure: The Schnorr Proof of Knowledge protocol [\[2\]](#page-16-1), as shown in [\[3\]](#page-16-2).

**1. Completeness** Commitment scheme (opening, challenge, response)

 $U^z = g^z = g^{c \cdot \alpha + r} = g^r \cdot (g^\alpha)^c =$  $R\cdot (U^\alpha)^c = R\cdot \mathcal{A}^c$ 

**2. Soundness** *Extractor* concept with the ability to extract secret knowledge from *P* convinces *V* of the existence of a satisfying solution.

**2. Soundness** Assumption: *V* is able to receive two accepting conversations  $(R, c, z)$  and  $(R, c', z')$ . With  $U^z = A^c \cdot R$  and  $U^{z'} = A^{c'} \cdot R$  $\begin{equation} U^{z-z'}=A^{c-c'} \end{equation}$  $\implies \alpha = \frac{z-z'}{c-c'}$  $\frac{z-z^{\prime}}{c-c^{\prime}}= \log_{g}(U)$ 

**3. HVZK** *Simulator* concept with simulated transcript *Tsim* and real transcript *Treal* of interactive protocol [\[4\]](#page-16-3). **3. Honest Verifier Zero Knowledge**

Select  $z, c \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ Calculate  $\alpha = \frac{g^2}{U^c}$ *U<sup>c</sup>* Output  $T_{\text{sim}} = (\alpha, c, z)$ 

**4. Zero Knowledge (Fiat-Shamir Heuristic)**

$$
c=H(R)\Longrightarrow c=H(T)
$$

# Towards Non-interactive Zero Knowledge Proof of Exponent

Problem with Schnorr: G is a finite cyclic group of prime order *q*. ⇒ We need a ZK proof of discrete log in a group of unknown order for RSA accumulator.

 $\Rightarrow$  Boneh, Bünz, and Fisch [\[3\]](#page-16-2) construct the NI-ZKPoKE protocol, which is sound and secure under the adaptive root problem.

Extraction based on Chinese Remainder Theorem [\[5\]](#page-16-4) with recovery of (α mod *l*) for many *l* and simulation for HVZK leverages the Pedersen commitment [\[6\]](#page-16-5).

# Boneh et al. NI-ZKPoKE [[3](#page-16-2)]

GenProof 
$$
(w_x, x, a_t)
$$
:  
\n $k, \rho_x, \rho_k \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} [-B, B];$   
\n $A_g = g^k h^{\rho_k};$   
\n $l \leftarrow H_{\text{prime}}(w_x, a_t, z, A_g, A_{w_x});$   
\n $q_x \leftarrow [(k + c \cdot x)/l];$   
\n $r_x \leftarrow (k + c \cdot x) \mod l;$   
\n $\pi \leftarrow \{l, z, g^{q_x} h^{q_p}, w_x^{q_x}, r_x, r_p\}$   
\nreturn :  $\pi$ 

 $\textsf{VerifyProof}\left(\textit{w}_\textsf{x}, \textit{a}_\textsf{t}, \pi\right)$  :  $\{I, Z, Q_g, Q_{W_X}, r_X, r_\rho\} \leftarrow \pi$ ;  $c = H(I)$  $A_g \leftarrow Q_g^l g^{r_\chi} h^{r_\rho} z^{-c}$  ;  $A_w \leftarrow Q_{w_\chi}^l w_x^{r_\chi} a_t^{-c}$ *t Verify*  $r_x, r_\rho \in [l]$ ;  $I = H_{\text{prime}}(\mathbf{w}_x, a_t, z, A_g, A_w)$  $return: \{0,1\}$ 

# High-Level Overview of A-PoA

**Cryptographic Accumulator and Zero Knowledge Proof of Knowledge of Exponent**



**to maintain identifiers and schemas**

### **Security**

### **Adversary Model**

•  $\mathcal{A}_1$  *(Network Eavesdropper)*: Suppose a hostile network participant, acting as  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , intends to eavesdrop and modify or decrypt all messages *m* exchanged throughout the introduced protocols.

 $\Rightarrow$  Authenticated Encryption for DID communication relying on security of e.g. asymmetric cryptography.

- $\mathscr{A}_2$  *(Unforgeability)*: Suppose  $\mathscr{A}_2$  is a malicious adversary, trying to **forge** a valid proof of an invalid identity.  $\mathscr{A}_2$ 's efforts can be based on previously seen witness pairs  $(x, w)$  (only w is known by  $\mathcal{A}_2$ ) and accumulator values *a*. ⇒ Accumulator collision (*a'<sup>x'</sup> = g<sup>x<sub>1</sub>,...,x</sup>n mod <i>n*) protected by *strong* RSA assumption. + Revocation of *x'* causes adversary with collision to authenticate.
- $\mathscr{A}_3$  *(Cheating Verifier)*: Suppose  $\mathscr{A}_3$  is a **malicious** Verifier *V* that verifies the authentication proofs of a prover *P*. Then,  $\mathscr{A}_3$ does not learn anything else than the validity of the statement proven by *P*.
	- ⇒ Computational indistinguishable transcripts *Tsim* and *Treal* + *Fiat Shamir* heuristic in NI-ZKPOKE [\[3\]](#page-16-2).

## Evaluation



**GenProof** 60 ▯ *H*prime **VerifyProof** Time (ms) ▯ *H*prime 40 20 0 1 10 20 30 40 50 100 1k Number of elements *x<sup>i</sup>* of the accumulator

Figure: Duration (ms) of adding elements  $x_i$  to an already existing witness  $w_i$ for a single holder witness update (numbers averaged by 100 repetitions).

Figure: GenProof (left, lightgray) and VerifyProof (right, gray) execution times (ms) of the NI-ZKPoKE protocol with 128-Bit polynomial time  $H_{\text{prime}}$ hash function and the RSA-accumulator (2048-Bit).

### Evaluation

Table: Mean execution times (ms) of A-PoA with a 2048-Bit RSA- accumulator ( $\lambda = 128$ ), k=50 elements, and 128-Bit hashes.



### Related Work

- Asynchronous accumulators with backwards compatibility to build a distributed Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) [\[7\]](#page-16-6). Authorized key pairs certify services and remain verifiable with accumulator membership via the ledger.
	- $\Rightarrow$  Authorization by membership verification but missing anonymous setup.
- Disposable dynamic accumulator in the context of a pseudonym-based signature scheme to establish privacy-preserving electronic IDs [\[8\]](#page-16-7). One time token to authenticate which preserves anonymity, unlinkability, and backward unlinkability.  $\Rightarrow$  One time tokens require generation while our scheme keeps witnesses for authentication. Our schema requires pseudonym DIDs with new verifiers.

## Acknowledgements

- This work has received funding by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme through the nloVe project (<https://www.niove.eu/>) under grant agreement no. 833742.
- With the support of the Technische Universität München Institute for Advanced Study, funded by the German Excellence Initiative and the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no. 291763.

### References I

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>E. J. Camenisch and A. Lysyanskaya, "Dynamic accumulators and application to efficient revocation of anonymous credentials," in *Annual International Cryptology Conference*. Springer, 2002, pp. 61–76.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>C.-P. Schnorr, "Efficient identification and signatures for smart cards," in *Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology*. Springer, 1989, pp. 239–252.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>D. Boneh, B. Bünz, and B. Fisch, "Batching techniques for accumulators with applications to iops and stateless blockchains," in *Annual International Cryptology Conference*. Springer, 2019, pp. 561–586.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>M. Fischlin, "Trapdoor commitment schemes and their applications." Ph.D. dissertation, Citeseer, 2001. F.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>D. Pei, A. Salomaa, and C. Ding, *Chinese remainder theorem: applications in computing, coding, cryptography*. World E. Scientific, 1996.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>L. Chen and T. P. Pedersen, "New group signature schemes," in *Workshop on the Theory and Application of of Cryptographic* and the second seco *Techniques*. Springer, 1994, pp. 171–181.
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>L. Reyzin and S. Yakoubov, "Efficient asynchronous accumulators for distributed pki," in *International Conference on Security*  $\equiv$ *and Cryptography for Networks*. Springer, 2016, pp. 292–309.
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>M. Hölzl, M. Roland, O. Mir, and R. Mayrhofer, "Disposable dynamic accumulators: toward practical privacy-preserving E. mobile eids with scalable revocation," *International Journal of Information Security*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 401–417, 2020.

## References II

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>J. Camenisch and A. Lysyanskaya, "Signature schemes and anonymous credentials from bilinear maps," in *Annual* F *international cryptology conference*. Springer, 2004, pp. 56–72.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span> $\equiv$ J. Camenisch, M. Kohlweiss, and C. Soriente, "An accumulator based on bilinear maps and efficient revocation for anonymous credentials," in *International workshop on public key cryptography*. Springer, 2009, pp. 481–500.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>W. W. W. Consortium *et al.*, "Verifiable credentials data model 1.0: Expressing verifiable information on the web," *https://www. w3. org/TR/vc-data-model/?# core-data-model*, 2019.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>D. Reed, M. Sporny, D. Longley, C. Allen, R. Grant, M. Sabadello, and J. Holt, "Decentralized identifiers (dids) v1. 0," *Draft* 靠 *Community Group Report*, 2020.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>F. C. Adams, S. Farrell, T. Kause, and T. Mononen, "Internet x. 509 public key infrastructure certificate management protocol (cmp)," RFC 4210 (Proposed Standard), Tech. Rep., 2005.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>M. Myers, R. Ankney, A. Malpani, S. Galperin, and C. Adams, "X. 509 internet public key infrastructure online certificate  $\blacksquare$ status protocol-ocsp," 1999.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>D. Cooper, S. Santesson, S. Farrell, S. Boeyen, R. Housley, W. T. Polk *et al.*, "Internet x. 509 public key infrastructure  $\blacksquare$ certificate and certificate revocation list (crl) profile." *RFC*, vol. 5280, pp. 1–151, 2008.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>D. Boneh and V. Shoup, "A graduate course in applied cryptography," *Recuperado de https://crypto. stanford. edu/˜* E. *dabo/cryptobook/BonehShoup\_0\_4. pdf*, 2017.



## Questions?



# Thank You for listening.

### **Contact**

- Jan Lauinger: **[jan.lauinger@tum.de](mailto:jan.lauinger@tum.de)**
- Jens Ernstberger: **[jens.ernstberger@tum.de](mailto:jens.ernstberger@tum.de)**
- Emanuel Regnath: **[emanuel.regnath@tum.de](mailto:emanuel.regnath@tum.de)**
- Mohammad Hamad: **[mohammad.hamad@tum.de](mailto:mohammad.hamad@tum.de)**
- Sebastian Steinhorst: **[sebastian.steinhorst@tum.de](mailto:sebastian.steinhorst@tum.de)**



# Backup Slides

## Boneh et al. NI-ZKPoKE [\[3\]](#page-16-2)

#### **Completeness**

Accept if: 
$$
\Rightarrow Q_g^l \cdot g^{r_x} \cdot h^{r_\rho} = (g^{q_x} \cdot h^{q_\rho})^l \cdot g^{r_x} \cdot h^{r_\rho} = g^{q_x \cdot l + r_x} \cdot h^{q_\rho \cdot l + r_\rho} = g^{s_x} \cdot h^{s_\rho} = g^{k + c \cdot x} \cdot h^{\rho_k + c \cdot \rho_x} = g^k \cdot h^{\rho_k} \cdot g^{x \cdot c} \cdot h^{\rho_x \cdot c} = A_g \cdot z^c
$$

$$
\Rightarrow Q_u^l \cdot u^{r_x} = (u^{q_x})^l \cdot u^{r_x} = u^{q_x \cdot l + r_x} = u^{s_x} = u^{k + c \cdot x} = u^k \cdot u^{x \cdot c} = A_u \cdot w^c
$$

#### **Soundness (Extractor)**

- 1. Extractors to extract *x*,  $\rho$  such that  $z = g^x \cdot h^\rho$  and  $g^{s_1} \cdot h^{s_2} = A_g \cdot z^c$ .
- 2. Set  $R \leftarrow \{\}$  and sample  $s_1, s_2 \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} [0, 2^{\lambda}].$
- 3. Sample  $l \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} \text{Primes}(\lambda)$ ,  $c \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} [0,2^{\lambda}]$  and send  $s_1, s_2, l, c$  to  $\mathscr{A}_1$ .
- 4. Obtain output  $Q_g, Q_u, r_1, r_2$  from  $\mathscr{A}_0$ . If transcript is accepting  $(Q_g^l\cdot g^{r_1}\cdot h^{r_2}=A_g\cdot z^c$  and  $Q_u^l\cdot u^{r_1}=A_u\cdot w^c)$  then update  $R \leftarrow R \cup \{(r_1, r_2, l, c)\}$ . Otherwise return to step 2.
- 5. Use CRT to compute  $s_1 = r_1^{(i)}$  mod  $l^{(i)}$  and  $s_2 = r_2^{(i)}$  mod  $l^{(i)}$ , for each  $(r_1^{(i)})$  $r_1^{(i)}, r_2^{(i)}$  $\mathcal{L}_{2}^{(l)}, \mathcal{V}^{(i)}\big) \in R$ . If  $u^{s_1} = A_u \cdot w^c$  then output  $s_1$ , otherwise return to step 2.
- 6. Repeat for  $s_1$ <sup>'</sup>  $s'_1, s'_2$  $\alpha'_{2},c',$  so that  $x=\Delta s_{1}/\Delta c=(s_{1}-s'_{1})$  $\alpha_{1}^{\prime})/(c-c^{\prime})$  and  $\rho=\Delta s_{2}/\Delta c=(s_{2}-s_{2}^{\prime})$  $\sigma_2'') / (c - c')$ , with extraction based on  $u^{s_1} = A_u \cdot w^c$  and  $u^{s'_1} = A_u \cdot w^{c'}$ , thus  $(u^x)^{\Delta c} = w^{\Delta c} \Rightarrow u^x = w$ .

# Boneh et al. NI-ZKPoKE [\[3\]](#page-16-2)

**Zero Knowledge (Simulator)**

- 1.  $\widetilde{c} \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} [0,2^{\lambda}], \widetilde{l} \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} \text{Primes}(\lambda)$
- 2.  $\widetilde{z} \leftarrow h^{\widetilde{\rho}}$ ,  $\rho \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} [B]$
- 3.  $\widetilde{q}_x, \widetilde{q}_r \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} [B]^2$
- 4.  $\widetilde{r}_x, \widetilde{r}_\rho \in [l]^2$
- $\overline{Q}_g \leftarrow g^{\overline{q}_x} \cdot h^{\overline{q}_p}$ ,  $\overline{Q}_u \leftarrow u^{\overline{q}_x}$
- $\widetilde{A}_g \leftarrow \widetilde{Q}_g^l \cdot g^{\widetilde{r}_x} \cdot h^{\widetilde{r}_\rho} \cdot z^{-\widetilde{c}} \,, \ \ \widetilde{A}_u \leftarrow \widetilde{Q}_u^l \cdot u^{\widetilde{r}_x} \cdot w^{-\widetilde{c}}$

 $\phi\in (\widetilde{z},A_g,A_u,\widetilde{c},I,Q_g,Q_w,\widetilde{r}_x,\widetilde{r}_\rho)$  is statistically indistinguishable from  $(z,A_g,A_u,c,I,Q_g,Q_w,r_x,r_\rho)$ 

### **Anonymous Credentials**

*Group Signature Scheme* [\[9\]](#page-17-0)

- 1. Key generation procedure (Key generation for revocation management [\[10\]](#page-17-1))
- 2. Join protocol between member and group manager (Holder obtains signature of group manager on committed values)
- 3. Sign algorithm for member to sign messages (Proving Knowledge of a signature)
- 4. Algorithm to verify group signatures (manager/member) for validity using the group's public key
- 5. Opening algorithm for group manager to identify member for revocation

### Involved roles  $\rightarrow$  Issuer, Prover/Holder, Verifier

Hyperledger Indy<sup>1</sup> implementation of anonymous credentials

- Based on W3C standard of Verifiable Credentials [\[11\]](#page-17-2) and Decentralized Identifiers [\[12\]](#page-17-3)
- Endorser Role (Ledger write privilege) to register *Credential Schema* (*CS*) → *CS* defines attributes of a credential
- Endorser Role (Ledger write privilege) to register *Credential Definition* ( $CD$ )  $\rightarrow$   $CD$  defines public cryptographic data required for credential validation (attributes/validity, revocation) and references *CS*

 $\rightarrow$  *Indy* anonymous credential protocol<sup>2</sup> supports anonymous credentials from various issuers to multiple holders

<sup>1</sup><https://www.hyperledger.org/use/hyperledger-indy>

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/hyperledger-archives/indy-crypto/blob/master/libindy-crypto/docs/AnonCred.pdf>

### Code: 2048-Bit RSA Accumulator

```
class RSA2048_Accumulator():
    def __init__(self, elements):
        self.p, self.q = 113670..., 1472657... # 1024 primes p and q
        self.modulus, self.phi = 1673972..., 16739728... # 2048 bit N as RSA modulus p*q = N, PHI (p-1)(q-1)
        self.g_acc, self.value = pow(3,2), 9 # q=3 works, look at the script pick_q-2.py, # squaring q mod N
        self.elements = elements # generate x elements, hash to primes
    def add(self, element):
        if element not in self.elements:
            old_value = self.valueself.value = pow(self.value, element, self.modulus)return old_value
    def gen_witness(self, element):
        wit = self.g_accfor x in self.elements:
            if x == element: continue
           prime_item = self._items.as_prime(item)
            wit = pow(wit, x, self.modulus)return wit
    def verify_membership(self, wit_t, x_t):
        return pow(wit_t, x_t, self.modulus) == self.value
    def remove(self, x):
        if x in self.tails:
            old value = self.valueself.tails.remove(x)
            base = modinv(x, self.phi)invers = pow(base, 1, self.phi)self.value = pow(self.value, invers, self.modulus)
            return self.value
```
## Code: NI-ZKPoKE

```
def Com(g, x, h, r, q):
   return (\text{pow}(g, x, q) * \text{pow}(h, r, q)) % q
def gen_proof(security, acc, u, x):
    s = number.getRandomRange(2, acc.modulus-1)g = 2h = pow(g, s, acc.modulus)w = pow(u, x, acc.modulus) # u^x = wB = pow(2, 2*security, acc.modulus)*acc.bit_size+1k = number.getRandomRange(3, B) # random numbers max 64-bit size if security lambda of 128 possible
   ro_x = number.getRandomRange(3, B)ro_k = number.getRandomRange(3, B)z = \text{Com}(g, x, h, ro_x, acc.modulus)A_g = Com(g, k, h, ro_k, acc.modulus)A_u = pow(u, k, acc.modulus)l = Hash2Prime(u, w, z, A_g, A_u, "md5")
   hf = hashlib.md5(\text{str}(1).encode("utf-8"))
    c = int(hf.hexdigest(), 16)q_x = (k + c * x) // 1 # proof values:
   r_x = (k + c * x) % 1q_{r} = (r_{0,k} + c * r_{0,k}) // 1r_{r} = (ro_{k} + c * ro_{k}) %Q_g = Com(g, q_x, h, q_r), acc.modulus)
    Q_u = pow(u, q_x, acc.modulus)return [1, z, Q_g, Q_u, r_x, r_r]
```
## Code: NI-ZKPoKE

```
def verify_proof(pi, u, acc_value):
    # value extraction of proof params
    hf = hashlib.md5(\text{str}(1) \cdot \text{encode}("utf-8"))c = int(hf.hexdigest(), 16)base = modinv(z, n)base2 = modinv(w, n)A_{g_{\text{u}}}ver = ( pow(Q_{g}, 1, n) * pow(g, r_x, n) * pow(h, r_r, n) * pow(base, c, n) ) % nA_u ver = ( pow(Q_u, 1, n) * pow(u, r_x, n) * pow(base2, c, n) ) % nl_ver = Hash2Prime(u, w, z, A_g_ver, A_u_ver, "md5")
    compare1 = pow(Q_u, 1_{ver}, n)*pow(u, r_x, n) % n == A_u\_veryrow(w, c, n) % n
    compare2 = pow(Q_g, 1_{ver}, n)*Com(g, r_x, h, r_r, n)) % n == A_{g_{v}}ver*pow(z, c, n) %n
    return compare1 and compare2
def Hash2Prime(u, w, z, A_g, A_u, hashtype):
    chal = str(u)+str(w)+str(z)+str(A_g)+str(A_u)h = hashlib.md5() # or hashlib.sha256()h.update(chal.encode("utf-8"))
    nonce, temp = 0, 0while True:
        h.update(str(nonce).encode("utf-8"))
        nonce += 1c = int(h.hexdigest(), 16)if number.isPrime(c):
            return c
```
### **Credential Schema and Credential Definition Test Code Example**<sup>3</sup>

```
let mut credential_schema_builder = Issuer::new_credential_schema_builder().unwrap();
credential_schema_builder.add_attr("name").unwrap();
credential_schema_builder.add_attr("age").unwrap();
let credential_schema = credential_schema_builder.finalize().unwrap();
```

```
let mut non_credential_builder = NonCredentialSchemaBuilder::new().unwrap();
non_credential_builder.add_attr("master_secret").unwrap();
let non_credential_schema = non_credential_builder.finalize().unwrap();
```

```
let (cred_pub_key, cred_priv_key, cred_key_correctness_proof) =
                Issuer::new_credential_def(&credential_schema, &non_credential_schema, true).unwrap();
```

```
let mut credential values builder = CredentialValuesBuilder::new().unwrap();
credential_values_builder.add_value_hidden("master_secret", &prover_mocks::master_secret().value().unwrap()).unwrap();
credential_values_builder.add_value_known("name", &string_to_bignumber("indy-crypto")).unwrap();
credential_values_builder.add_dec_known("age", "25").unwrap();
```

```
let cred_values = credential_values_builder.finalize().unwrap();
```

```
let credential_nonce = new_nonce().unwrap();
```

```
let (blinded_credential_secrets, credential_secrets_blinding_factors, blinded_credential_secrets_correctness_proof) =
                Prover::blind_credential_secrets(&cred_pub_key, &cred_key_correctness_proof, &cred_values, &credential_nonce).unwrap();
```
<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/hyperledger-archives/indy-crypto/blob/master/libindy-crypto/src/cl/issuer.rs>

### **[Decentralized Identifiers](https://www.w3.org/TR/did-core/) (DIDs)**



**Verifiable Registry (e.g. Distributed Ledger -> Ethereum, Sovrin, Cardano, etc.)** 

**X.509 Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)**

- [Wikipedia:](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Public_key_infrastructure) PKI is set of roles, policies, hardware, software and procedures to create, manage, distribute, use, store and revoke digital certificates
- Certificate Management Protocol (CMP) [\[13\]](#page-17-4)
- Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) [\[14\]](#page-17-5)
- Certification Path Validation [\[15\]](#page-17-6)



## Notations Overview



# Notations of Groups used in Cryptography

Using values out of different types of groups allow to calculate different equations. Some calculations are believed to be hard to solve, e.g. (taken from  $[16]$ ):

- 1. Let g be a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Given  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  find an *r* such that  $x = g^r \mod p$ . This is known as the *discrete log* problem.
- 2. Let  $g$  be a generator of  $\Z_p^*$ . Given  $x,y\in\Z_p^*$  where  $x=g^{r1}$  and  $y=g^{r2}$ . Find  $z=g^{r1\cdot r2}$ . This is known as the *Diffie-Hellman* problem.

With finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{G}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}^*$  represents the set of generators of  $\mathbb{G}$ .

Cyclic Group  $\Z_p^*$ , if  $g\in\Z_p^*$  exist with property  $\Z_p^*=\{1,g,g^2,g^3,\ldots,g^{p-2}\}$ , then  $g$  is called generator. Elements in  $\Z_p^*$  are invertible (*x*,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  with  $x \cdot a = 1 \mod p$ ). Inverse of *x* is denoted as  $x^{-1}.$ 

Example: Select  $g = 3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7^*$  $\ket{\pi_7} \Longrightarrow \ket{3} = \{1,3,3^2,3^3,3^4,3^5,3^6\} \equiv \{1,3,2,6,4,5\} (\mod 7) = \mathbb{Z}_7^*$ 7 .

An element  $x\in\Z_p^*$  is called a Quadratic Residue (QR) if it has a square root in  $\Z_p.$  Let  $g$  be a generator of  $\Z_p^*.$  Let  $x=g^r$  for some integer  $r$ . Then  $x$  is a QR in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $r$  is even. Since  $x=g^r$  is a QR if and only if  $r$  is even, it follows that exactly half the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are QR's. Testing if an element is a QR in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is believed to be hard if factorization of *n* unknown [\[16\]](#page-17-7).

# Notations of Groups used in Cryptography

### **RSA-Accumulator Value**

Requires generator  $g_{\rm acc}$   $\stackrel{\ {\sf R}}{\leftarrow}$   $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , with  $n=p\cdot q$ ,  $p=$  2  $\cdot$   $p'+1$ , and  $q=$  2  $\cdot$   $q'+1$ , where  $p'$  and  $q'$  are *Sophie Germain* primes ( $p$  such that  $2p+1$  is prime).

Finding *Sophie Germain* primes, calculate safe prime of  $k = 512, 768, 1024, 2048, 4096$  bits, repeatedly try to find a random prime *p* of *k*-1 bits, until 2*p* +1 is prime (or repeatedly find a random *k*-bit prime *p*, until (*p*-1)/2 is prime). *Miller-Rabin* primality test to find primes faster.

- $[1]$  requires  $a_t \in \mathbb{QR}_n$ ,  $a_t \neq 1$ , so  $a_t$  is QR in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .
- $\Rightarrow$  Construction in [\[3\]](#page-16-2) requires  $\mathbb{G}_?$  as generic group of unknown order  $\{(\mathbb{Z}_n)^*/\{\pm 1\}\}.$

Create random values in QR*<sup>n</sup>* : pick a random number *r* relatively prime to *n*, and compute *r* <sup>2</sup> mod *n*; that's a random value in QR*<sup>n</sup>* ; size(QR*<sup>n</sup>* ) = (*p*−1)(*q*−1)/4 ≈ *N*/4

 $\Rightarrow$   $a_t = (g_{\rm acc})^2 \mod n,$  with  $g_{\rm acc}$   $\stackrel{\ {\sf R}}{\leftarrow}$   $\mathbb{G}_?$ 

## Generation of Accumulator Elements

#### **RSA-Accumulator Elements**

[\[1\]](#page-16-0) requires accumulator elements  $x \in primes$ , with  $x \neq p', q'$  and  $A \leq x \leq B$ , where A, B can be chosen with arbitrary polynomial dependence (Linear Independence of Polynomials, arbitrary constant coefficients, distinct positive integers as grades), respecting the security parameter  $\lambda$  , as long as 2  $<$  *A* and *B*  $<$  *A*<sup>2</sup>.

Generation of  $x \leftarrow H_{\text{prime}}(x', \lambda)$  using  $H_{\text{prime}}$  to achieve collision resistance of accumulator elements.

Tails file  $X_t = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_i\}$ , with  $i = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$  contains accumulator elements.

## Notations Overview

